

*Application No. 10/758169*  
*Page 7*

*Amendment*  
*Attorney Docket No. H01.2B-11378-US01*

**Amendments To The Drawings:**

None.

Best Available Copy

**Application No. 10/758169**  
**Page 8**

**Amendment**  
**Attorney Docket No. H01.2B-11378-US01**

**Remarks**

This Amendment is in response to the Office Action dated **April 11, 2006**.

The Examiner allowed claim 22, objected to claims 6, 14 and 15 and rejected claims 1, 3, 4, 6-12 and 14-21 as obvious over Kriz in view of Rainin, Gaffney and Tekscan.

In response, applicant has cancelled claim 1, and changed the dependency of the remaining claims to depend from allowed claim 22. Changing the dependency also fixed the problems pointed out by the Examiner related to claims 6, 14, and 15, which were depending from cancelled claims. Claim 3 was also amended to add the limitation from cancelled claim 2, and claim 14 was also amended to add the limitation from cancelled claim 13.


Claims 3-4, 6-12, and 14-22 are now believed to be in condition for allowance.

All dependent claims are now believed to ultimately depend from the sole remaining independent claim 22, which is allowed.

Respectfully submitted,

VIDAS, ARRETT & STEINKRAUS

Date: August 8, 2006

By:   
Richard A. Arrett  
Registration No.: 33153

6109 Blue Circle Drive, Suite 2000  
Minnetonka, MN 55343-9185  
Telephone: (952) 563-3000  
Facsimile: (952) 563-3001

f:\wpwork\raa\11378us01\_amd\_20060808.doc

Best Available Copy